## **Program A: Federal Programs**

Unless otherwise indicated, all objectives are to be accomplished during or by the end of FY 2003-2004. Objectives may be key or supporting level. The level of the objective appears after the objective number and before the objective text.

Performance indicators are made up of two parts: name and value. The indicator name describes what is being measured. The indicator value is the numeric value or level achieved within a given measurement period. For budgeting purposes, performance indicators are shown for the prior fiscal year, the current fiscal year, and alternative funding scenarios (continuation budget level and Executive Budget recommendation level) for the ensuing fiscal year of the budget document. Performance indicators may be key, supporting, or general performance information level. Key level is indicated by a "K" in the "Level" column of the standard performance indicator table. Supporting level is indicated by an "S" in the "Level" column of the standard performance indicator table. General Performance Information indicators appear in tables labeled as General Performance Information.

**DEPARTMENT ID: Executive Department** 

AGENCY ID: 01-129 Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement

PROGRAM ID: Program A: Federal Programs

1. (KEY) To award and administer federal formula grant funds under the Edward Byrne Memorial Program, the Violence against Women (VAW) Program, the Crime Victim Assistance (CVA) Program, the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (JJDP) Program, the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant (LLEBG) Program, and the Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grant (JAIBG) Program, all in accordance with their minimum pass-through requirements.

Strategic Link: This objective relates to Strategic Objective 1.1 - 1.6: To pass through the above grant programs in accordance with their minimum pass-through requirements.

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: This operational objective relates to Vision 2020 Objective 3.3: To have safe homes, schools, and streets throughout the state.

Children's Cabinet Link: Not Applicable

Other Link(s): Not Applicable

Explanatory Note: These indicators measure the amount of funds that LCLE intends to award through subgrants during the state fiscal year. However, these subgrants could be coming from several different federal fiscal years of grants. Each program has a specific minimum mandatory pass through percentage, and LCLE has consistently exceeded those minimums substantially.

			PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES						
L	L				PERFORMANCE		PERFORMANCE	PERFORMANCE	
F	E		YEAREND	ACTUAL	STANDARD	EXISTING	AT	AT EXECUTIVE	
LaPAS V	V		PERFORMANCE	YEAREND	AS INITIALLY	PERFORMANCE	CONTINUATION	BUDGET	
PI E	E		STANDARD	PERFORMANCE	APPROPRIATED	STANDARD	BUDGET LEVEL	LEVEL	
CODE L	L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	FY 2001-2002	FY 2001-2002	FY 2002-2003	FY 2002-2003	FY 2003-2004	FY 2003-2004	
243		Minimum percentage of funds passed through to 1	75.00%	87.40% 2	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	
K	K	local criminal justice agencies under the Edward							
		Byrne Memorial Program							
244 K	K	Number of Byrne grants awarded	145	236 3	145	145	175	175	
245 S	S	Dollar amount of Byrne grants awarded	\$7,000,000	\$10,566,693 3	\$7,000,000	\$7,000,000	\$8,000,000	\$8,000,000	
247		Minimum percentage of funds passed through to	80.00%	91.40% 4	90.00%	90.00%	90.00%	90.00%	
K	K	criminal justice or nonprofit agencies for VAW							
		programs							
248 K	K	Number of VAW grants awarded	60	76 <sup>3</sup>	75	75	75	75	
249 S	S	Dollar amount of VAW grants awarded	\$1,800,000	\$2,140,991 3	\$1,850,000	\$1,850,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	
251		Minimum percentage of funds passed through to	94.00%	95.11% 5	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	
K	K	each of the four CVA priority areas for							
		underserved victims							
252 K	K	Number of CVA grants awarded	100	128 3	100	100	110	110	
253 S	S	Dollar amount of CVA grants awarded	\$4,200,000	\$5,241,696 3	\$4,100,000	\$4,100,000	\$4,500,000	\$4,500,000	
255		Minimum percentage of funds passed through to	83.00%	86.29% 6	85.00%	85.00%	82.00%	82.00%	
K		local agencies under JJDP Program							
256 K	K	Number of JJDP grants awarded	70	83 3	80	80	75	75	

257	S	Dollar amount of JJDP grants awarded	1,100,000	1,472,777 3	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,300,000	1,300,000
259	K	Number of LLEBG Program grants awarded	80	136 3	100	100	110	110
260	S	Dollar amount of LLEBG Program grants awarded	\$300,000	\$438,416 <sup>3</sup>	\$350,000	\$350,000	\$370,000	\$370,000
269		Minimum percentage of JAIBG Program funds passed through to local government	75.00%	84.93% 7	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%
270	K	Number of JAIBG Program grants awarded	60	56 <sup>3</sup>	55	55	55	55
271	S	Dollar amount of JAIBG Program grants awarded	\$3,800,000	\$3,950,391 3	\$3,900,000	\$3,900,000	\$3,900,000	\$3,900,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Grants for the Edward Byrne Memorial Program are for anti-drug, violent crime, and criminal justice system improvement programs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Figures reflect most recently closed federal fiscal year. For the state's FY 2001-2002, this would be for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 1998. Federal funds have a three-year life, but are normally extended to four years. Estimated figures for FY 2002-2003 and FY 2003-2004 reflect more than the federal requirement that a minimum of 54.04% of funds be passed through to local criminal justice system agencies. However, as seen in the FY 2001-2002 actual value, much more is actually passed through to local agencies, with the remainder used for state-level/statewide programs, state agencies, and a small percentage for administration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Figures reflect activity during the state fiscal year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Beginning FFY 2001 the federal pass-through requirement increased to 85% for the VAW program is broken down as follows: 25% to law enforcement, 25% to prosecution, and 30% to victim services and 5% to courts. Figures reflect the most recently closed federal fiscal year. For FY 2001-2002, this would be federal fiscal year 1997. Estimated figures for FY 2003-2004 reflect the federal requirement that a minimum of 85% be passed through to local law enforcement, prosecution, victim services and courts. However, as seen in the FY 2001-2002 actual value, much more is actually passed through to these agencies, with the remainder used for state-level/statewide programs and a small percentage for administration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Grants for the Crime Victim Assistance (CVA) are awarded in the four priority areas: domestic violence, sexual assault, child abuse, and previously underserved by demographic characteristic and type of crime not in other categories. A 40% minimum pass through is required (10% in each area). These percentages are usually exceeded. Figures reflect the most recently closed federal fiscal year. For FY 2001-2002, this would be FFY 1998. Federal funds have a life of several years.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Figures reflect the most recently closed federal fiscal year. For the state's FY 2001-2002, this would be FFY 1998. The remainder of the funds goes to the following: (1) administrative funds, (2) required amount of defined by act to support federally required advisory board and (3) statewide agency programs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Figures reflect the most recently closed federal fiscal year. For the state's FY 2001-2002, this would be FFY 1999.

**DEPARTMENT ID: Executive Department** 

AGENCY ID: 01-129 Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement

PROGRAM ID: Program A: Federal Programs

		GENERAL PERFOR	MANCE INFORMA	ATION:		
			PERFOR	RMANCE INDICATOR V	ALUES	
LaPAS		PRIOR YEAR	PRIOR YEAR	PRIOR YEAR	PRIOR YEAR	PRIOR YEAR
PI		ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL
CODE	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	FY 1997-98	FY 1998-99	FY 1999-00	FY 2000-01	FY 2001-02
	Edward Byrne Memorial Block Grant Program:	1,2				
12508	Number of Task Forces funded	41	35	35	49	55
12509	Number of drug arrests made by task forces	7,942	8,094	6,859	9,198	10,552
12510	Number of street sales disruption grants funded	27	31	31	40	38
12511	Number of drug arrests made by street sales projects	3,532	2,261	1,753	1,936	1,981
	Violence Against Women Grant Program:					
12512	Number of women served by grants	15,648 2	25,779 2	35,855 2	27,472 2	43,032 2
13986	Number of professionals trained through annual "Violence Against Women" Conference	301	325	335	366	349
	Crime Victims Assistance Grant Program:					
12513	Number of victims served by grants	29,942	43,065 3	52,710 2	37,120 2	66,915 2
	Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Program:	2				
12514	Number of juveniles served by grant	7,500	7,001	8,597	10,686	10,260
	Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grant Program	2				
12517	Number of juveniles served by grant	Not applicable	Not applicable	17,477 5	38,594 5	43,948 5
	Federal Formula Discretionary Grant Funds:	3,4				
12519	Number of discretionary grants received	3	4	3 4	3	3
12521	Dollar amount of discretionary grants received	\$699,023	\$1,372,293	\$1,072,669	\$1,563,409	\$2,302,412

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The data shows results from two types of grant projects: multijurisdictional task forces and street sales disruption efforts. Other projects funded include training, community policing, apprehension efforts, court delay reduction, major drug offender prosecution, intensive supervision, intensive incarceration, drug treatment, witness assistance, forensic lab enhancement, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This indicator is for State Fiscal Year (July 1 - June 30).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This indicator is for Federal Fiscal Year (October 1 - September 30).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The LCLE applies for discretionary funding to meet state criminal justice system priorities that are not otherwise funded or only partially funded. Discretionary grants from the U.S. Department of Justice are typically competitive in nature and are designed to support developmental initiatives that the U.S. Congress targeted. This means that fundable areas and the amount of funding available for any given area will change from year to year, based on appropriations by Congress and modifications to guidance provided by the U.S. Department of Justice pursuant to specific authorizing legislation for the various discretionary grant programs. Please note that two grant programs were combined into one during this reporting period, thus the number of grants reduced from 4 to 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Awards were not made under the JAIBG until the end of FY 1998-1999.

DEPARTMENT ID: Executive Department

AGENCY ID: 01-129 Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement

PROGRAM ID: Program A: Federal Programs

2. (KEY) To balance the use of Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) funds between state and local correctional institutions by ensuring that at least one program funded in any federal fiscal year is local institution-based and one is state institution-based.

Strategic Link: This operational objective relates to Strategic Objective 1.7: To pass through the above grant programs in accordance with their minimum pass-through requirements. It is also related to Strategic Objective 1.8: To balance the use of Residential Substance Abuse (RSAT) funds between state and local correctional institutions by insuring at least one program funded in any federal fiscal year is local institution-based and one is state institution-based.

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: This operational objective relates to Vision 2020 Objective 3.3: To have safe homes, schools, and streets throughout the state.

Children's Cabinet Link: Not Applicable

Other Link(s): Not Applicable

Explanatory Note: RSAT subgrant funds are used for state inmates with a history of substance abuse involvement. This treatment occurs just prior to release. The cost per inmate is lower in local facilities due to the reduced need for new equipment and supplies to in the continuation program. The cost per inmate in state facilities is higher than the cost per inmate in local facilities because state facilities provide services that locals do not, and because more support staff are required to sustain the enhanced RSAT programs in operation in state facilities. The cost per inmate is calculated by dividing the amount of the program's budget by the number of RSAT inmates participating in the program.

			PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES						
	L				PERFORMANCE		PERFORMANCE	PERFORMANCE	
	Е		YEAREND	ACTUAL	STANDARD	EXISTING	AT	AT EXECUTIVE	
LaPAS	V		PERFORMANCE	YEAREND	AS INITIALLY	PERFORMANCE	CONTINUATION	BUDGET	
PI	Е		STANDARD	PERFORMANCE	APPROPRIATED	STANDARD	BUDGET LEVEL	LEVEL	
CODE	L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	FY 2001-2002	FY 2001-2002	FY 2002-2003	FY 2002-2003	FY 2003-2004	FY 2003-2004	
262	K	Minimum percentage of RSAT funds passed through for the treatment of state adult and	75.00%	95.00%	75.00%	75.00%	94.00%	94.00%	
		juvenile inmates							
263	K	Number of RSAT grants awarded. 2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
264	S	Dollar amount of RSAT grants awarded <sup>2</sup>	\$1,482,563	\$1,482,563	\$1,494,777	\$1,494,777	\$1,675,714	\$1,675,714	
6140	S	Amount of funding received for RSAT subgrants for direct treatment programs	\$1,432,316	\$1,432,316	\$1,494,777	\$1,494,777	\$1,675,714	\$1,675,714	
6138	K	Number of residential substance abuse treatment programs operated by RSAT in local facilities	1	1	1	1	1	1	
6139	S	Cost per inmate in local facilities	\$5,842	\$4,935	\$5,842	\$5,842	\$5,842	\$5,842	
6137	K	Number of residential substance abuse treatment programs operated by RSAT in state facilities	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6141	S	Cost per inmate in state facilities	\$7,790	\$7,790	\$7,790	\$7,790	\$7,790	\$7,790	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The remainder of the funds is used for a planning grant by LCLE.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Figures reflect activity during the state fiscal year.

DEPARTMENT ID: Executive Department

AGENCY ID: 01-129 Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement

PROGRAM ID: Program A: Federal Programs

3. (KEY) To maintain the percentage of eligible criminal justice agencies participating and/or having access to one or more of the major components of the Integrated Criminal Justice Information System (ICJIS) to 95%.

Strategic Link: This operational objective partially accomplishes the program's Strategic Objective II.2: To implement the fully operational ICJIS, insuring full conectivity of all components by June 30, 2007.

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: This operational objective relates to Vision 2020 Objective 3.3: To have safe homes, schools, and streets throughout the state.

Children's Cabinet Link: Not Applicable

Other Link(s): Not Applicable

Explanatory Note: The seventh and last major component of the Integrated Criminal Justice Information System (ICJIS) became operational in FY 2000-2001.

			PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
	L				PERFORMANCE		PERFORMANCE	PERFORMANCE
	E		YEAREND	ACTUAL	STANDARD	EXISTING	AT	AT EXECUTIVE
LaPAS	V		PERFORMANCE	YEAREND	AS INITIALLY	PERFORMANCE	CONTINUATION	BUDGET
PI	E		STANDARD	PERFORMANCE	APPROPRIATED	STANDARD	BUDGET LEVEL	LEVEL
CODE	L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	FY 2001-2002	FY 2001-2002	FY 2002-2003	FY 2002-2003	FY 2003-2004	FY 2003-2004
6142	V	Percentage of eligible criminal justice agencies	65.00%	91.20%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%
	V	participating in ICJIS						
6143		Percentage of eligible criminal justice agencies	95.00%	91.20%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%
	S	with access to one or more ICJIS components						

**DEPARTMENT ID: Executive Department** 

AGENCY ID: 01-129 Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement

PROGRAM ID: Program A: Federal Programs

4. (KEY) To increase the number of eligible local law enforcement agencies that have completed Louisiana Incident-Based Crime Reporting System (LIBRS) certification at 19.

Strategic Link: This operational objective partially accomplishes the program's Strategic Objective II.4: To increase the number of eligible local law enforcement agencies which have complete Louisiana Incident Based Crime Reporting (LIBRS) certification to 100 by June 30, 2007.

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: This operational objective is related to Vision 2020 Objective 3.3: To have safe homes, schools, and streets throughout the state. It is also related to Benchmark 3.3.1, Index Crime Rates. Achievement of this operational objective will enable more accurate reporting of index crime information.

Children's Cabinet Link: Not Applicable

Other Link(s): Not Applicable

Explanatory Note: Louisiana Law Enforcement Management Information Network (La-LEMIS) is a law enforcement management information system developed for use by local law enforcement agencies. Its primary function is to automate law enforcement records at the local agency level and produce reports which benefits identification, investigation of crime, management at the operational and strategic levels, and to provide a means for the local agency to participate in the major state systems. LIBRS is a state level system which gathers information on crime incidents and arrests, as well as a large amount of information related to these crimes (i.e. victim-offender relationship, drug or firearm involvement, bins or gambling motivation, property stolen or recovered, circumstances of the offense, etc). La-LEMIS enables local agencies to make timely and accurate reports to LIBRS, while, at the same time, improving records and information management at the local level. An eligible agency is one which investigates crimes and make arrests for reportable offenses which are not covered in another agency's report.

The last point is an issue that affects small agencies. In many jurisdictions, a small agency may receive the initial complaint, and then refer it to the Sheriff's Office for investigation and arrest. The LIBRS system allows for this possibility by permitting the smaller agency to report through the larger agency.

Indicators related to Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) and LIBRS reporting reflect the overall level of crime reporting in the state, since both major types of reporting are included. These indicators have been used during the period in which the state system is in transition from summary UCR to LIBRS. Currently, LIBRS data is converted to the National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS), the national level crime reporting system on which LIBRS is based. NIBRS is converted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation to UCR format, and added to regular UCR numbers. LIBRS is currently in transition between Versions 1.1 and 2.0. Such a transition occurs as law changes and new requirements are added by the U.S. Congress, the Louisiana Legislature, or the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

			PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
	L				PERFORMANCE		PERFORMANCE	PERFORMANCE
	E		YEAREND	ACTUAL	STANDARD	EXISTING	AT	AT EXECUTIVE
LaPAS	V		PERFORMANCE	YEAREND	AS INITIALLY	PERFORMANCE	CONTINUATION	BUDGET
PI	E		STANDARD	PERFORMANCE	APPROPRIATED	STANDARD	BUDGET LEVEL	LEVEL
CODE	L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	FY 2001-2002	FY 2001-2002	FY 2002-2003	FY 2002-2003	FY 2003-2004	FY 2003-2004
266	K	Number of agencies reporting crime data 1	200	210	200	200	210	210
6147	K	Number of agencies completing LIBRS certification	19	0	19	19	19	19
267	S	Number of agencies participating in LIBRS certification	15	31	15	15	43	43
6149	S	Number of agencies using La-LEMIS software	85	94	88	88	114	114
6150	S	Percentage of the state population covered by  LIBRS reporting	25.00%	37.03%	25.00%	25.00%	40.00%	40.00%

6151	S	Percentage of eligible law enforcement agencies 1 reporting to the UCR	50.00%	55.30%	50.00%	50.00%	58.00%	58.00%
268	l S	Percentage of population covered by agencies reporting under UCR	93.00%	95.20%	93.00%	93.00%	99.00%	99.00%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data obtained from these sources provides the index crime rate reported in Louisiana: Vision 2020 action plans.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> LIBRS transferred data to a new computer system which required additional testing and verification. Through this process additional LIBRS programming modifications were found and changes are being made. A related factor was the delay in the dissemination of LEMIS 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> There are approximately 380 law enforcement agencies in Louisiana. Two hundred of those agencies provide statistics for 93% of Louisiana's population. Many of the remaining agencies are very small departments which, under most circumstances, have their serious cases handled by the larger local agencies. In order to provide a gauge of the use of LIBRS data, percentage of population covered is a more accurate account than number of agencies reporting.